

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE** 

Gary Anderson, AIA Chairman

Allison Toonen-Talamo Vice Chairman

Bonnie McDonald President & CEO

Kathleen A. Swien General Counsel

Terri Salas, CPA Treasurer

**Erika Block** Secretary

Joe Antunovich, FAIA Peter Babaian, PE Ciere Boatright Anthony Borich Tracy Dillard **Bob Eschbach** Jean Follett, PhD Jeff Goulette Jackie Taylor Holsten Mike Jackson, FAIA Gregory Miller Brad Moeller, AIA, LEED Sandra Rand Ziad Salameh, PhD, PE Jennifer Spence Will Tippens Alex Wolking, Realtor®

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Stacy Grundy, PhD
Evan Jahn, LEED AP
Jayne Lourash
Lauren Pacheco
Emilio Padilla, AIA
Jeffrey Pezza
Steve Schneider
Martin C. Tangora\*
Jack Tribbia
Sarah Van Domelen, PE
Christy Webber

\*Life Director

CHAIRMAN EMERITUS

Richard A. Miller

30 N. Michigan Ave. Suite 2020 Chicago, IL 60602 www.Landmarks.org January 12, 2023

(VIA EMAIL)

City Council City of Naperville 400 S. Eagle Street Naperville, IL 60540

RE: Pass the ordinance amending Chapter 11 (Historic Preservation) of Title 6 (Zoning Ordinance) regarding the Designation of Landmarks and owner consent - PZC 22-1-113

Dear Naperville City Councilmembers:

During the December 20 meeting of the City Council, Councilmembers heard and discussed amendments to the historic preservation ordinance. Landmarks Illinois appreciates the Council's careful consideration of the proposed amendments and reiterates our support for them. We find the revisions made following the December 20 meeting to be fair and reasonable.

However, during deliberation, we were alarmed to hear Councilmembers describe local landmarking as "taking" property rights. We would like to clear up any misperception that may arise from the use of that particular terminology.

By legal definition, a taking is an instance where government either a) seizes private property for public use or b) when government regulation limits the use of private property to such a degree that the property owner is deprived of all reasonable economic benefit deriving from ownership. The U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *Penn Central Transportation* Company et al. v. City of New York, 438 U.S. 104 (1978) established that landmark designation for the purpose of historic preservation does not constitute a taking. The *Penn Central* decision held that historic preservation is a legitimate governmental objective and that designating landmarks is an appropriate means for accomplishing historic preservation. It also established that a property owner must be denied all reasonable and beneficial use of their property to constitute a taking, not merely what the owner believes to be the highest and best use. Since Penn Central, federal and state courts have routinely rejected takings claims arising from the designation of properties as historic resources under historic preservation ordinances. It is therefore inaccurate and misleading to characterize local landmark designation as "taking" property rights.

Similar to zoning, building code, and other property regulations, landmark designation regulates the use of private property to provide for the public interest. It is in the interest of Naperville as a community to be able to protect the historic and cultural resources that tell the story of Naperville's past, and local landmark designation is a legitimate tool for that purpose.

Sincerely,

Kendra Parzen

Advocacy Manager

cc: Allison Laff, Deputy Director, TED, City of Naperville

Councilman Patrick Kelly, City of Naperville

Becky Simon, Naperville Preservation